




constitutional limitation of federal-court jurisdiction to actual cases or controversies.” *Simon v. Eastern Ky. Welfare Rights Organization*, 426 U.S. 26, 37 (1976) (quoted in *Raines v. Byrd*, 521 U.S. 811, 818 (1997) and *DaimlerChrysler Corp. v. Cuno*, 547 U.S. 332, 341 (2006)).

The complaint in this case does not identify any case or controversy. It also does not indicate that the plaintiff has been injured in any way by the defendant, the SSA, which is an essential component of a case or controversy. See *Lujan v. Defenders of Wildlife*, 54 U.S. 555, 559 (1992). While an agency may well be willing to provide an explanation or clarification of its own statements, outside the context of a case or controversy, a federal court does not do so. Accordingly, the complaint will be dismissed for lack of subject matter jurisdiction. An appropriate order accompanies this memorandum opinion.

Date:

6/19/09

  
United States District Judge