

FILED

JUN 10 2009

**Clerk, U.S. District and
Bankruptcy Courts**

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

Andrew Gill,

Plaintiff,

v.

Rosemary Collyer,

Defendant.

Civil Action No.


09 1070

MEMORANDUM OPINION

This matter is before the Court on its initial review of plaintiff's *pro se* complaint and application for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e), the Court is required to dismiss a complaint upon a determination that it, among other grounds, seeks monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e) (2)(B)(iii).

Plaintiff, a resident of the District of Columbia, sues United States District Judge Rosemary Collyer for dismissing his case filed in this Court. He seeks \$20,000 in monetary damages. Judges are absolutely immune from lawsuits predicated on acts taken, as alleged here, in their judicial capacity. *Forrester v. White*, 484 U.S. 219, 225 (1988); *Stump v. Sparkman*, 435 U.S. 349, 355-57 (1978); *Sindram v. Suda*, 986 F.2d 1459, 1460 (D.C. Cir. 1993). The complaint therefore will be dismissed. A separate Order accompanies this Memorandum Opinion.

Date: June , 2009


United States District Judge