

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

_____)	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	Civil Action No. 09-1018
)	
MARLENE MORTEN,)	
)	
Defendant.)	
_____)	

ORDER

For the reasons given in the Opinion issued this same day, it is hereby
ORDERED that [9] the motion of the United States to dismiss Ms. Morten’s
counterclaims against it is GRANTED in part and DENIED in part; it is
FURTHER ORDERED that Ms. Morten’s counterclaim alleging that the United
States is liable for the breach of contracts between the District of Columbia and Unfoldment, Inc.
is DISMISSED; it is
FURTHER ORDERED that [13] Ms. Morten’s motion to enjoin the United States
from proceeding with this collection action is DENIED; it is
FURTHER ORDERED that [12] Unfoldment, Inc.’s motion to intervene as a
third-party plaintiff is DENIED; and it is

FURTHER ORDERED that on or before August 20, 2010, Ms. Morten will amend her pleadings to reflect her filing of an administrative claim for a refund of tax penalties from the IRS and her understanding of the status of that claim.

SO ORDERED.

/s/ _____
PAUL L. FRIEDMAN
United States District Judge

DATE: August 4, 2010