UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

FILED

APR 2 2 2009 NANCY MAYER WHITTINGTON, CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT

Cheryl Gwinnell-Kennedy,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
v .)
)
U.S. Government Judiciary et al.,)
)
Defendants.)

Civil Action No. **()9** ()'73'7

MEMORANDUM OPINION

This matter comes before the Court on consideration of plaintiff's pro se complaint and application to proceed in forma pauperis. The Court will grant the application to proceed in forma pauperis and dismiss the complaint.

Plaintiff, Cheryl Gwinnell-Kennedy, brings this civil RICO suit against the "U.S. Government Judiciary," President Barack Obama, Attorney General Eric Holder, all members of the U.S. Senate Judiciary Committee, Senators Charles Schumer and Diane Feinstein as individuals, New York's Attorney General Andrew Cuomo, judges and various officials connected with the Ulster County (New York) court and district attorney's office, the Nassau County (New York) Bar Association, several other attorneys, Safeguard Properties of Ohio, Chase Home Finance, and the chairperson of the New York Third Judicial Department Committee on Professional Standards, among others. Compl. at 1-2. Making repeated references to identity theft, the complaint relates a series of events that are fairly incomprehensible *in toto*, except that it appears that plaintiff has lost her dwelling, and that her complaints to authorities of wrong-doing have met with no action that plaintiff finds satisfactory. Plaintiff does not allege facts sufficient to state a civil RICO claim against any of the parties identified. As relief, "Plaintiff now requests the sum of \$50 million for the disgusting violation to her rights, liberties, and life from organized crime of the judicial segment of government and the harm perpetrated by these disgusting sociopaths in this court and agencies. How this crime occurs coming from California shows the corruption of the Judiciary and all Judicial agencies." *Id.* at 14-15.

This complaint presents precisely the sort of incoherent, "fantastic or delusional scenarios" that warrant dismissal. Neitzke v. Williams, 490 U.S. 319, 328 (1989). Accordingly, this complaint will be dismissed under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(i) (requiring dismissal of frivolous complaints). An appropriate order accompanies this memorandum opinion.

Date: April (4, 2009

United States District Judge