FILED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

MAR 2 4 2009

NANCY MAYER WHITTINGTON, CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT

WESLEY CARROLL,)	
Plaintiff,)	
v.)	Civil Action No. 09-0076 (UNA)
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION,)	
Defendant.)	

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Under the Prison Litigation Reform Act ("PLRA"), a prisoner may not proceed *in forma* pauperis if while incarcerated he has filed at least three prior cases that were dismissed as frivolous, malicious, or for failure to state a claim. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g); see Ibrahim v. District of Columbia, 463 F.3d 3, 6 (D.C. Cir. 2006); Ibrahim v. District of Columbia, 208 F.3d 1032, 1033 (D.C. Cir. 2000); Smith v. District of Columbia, 182 F.3d 25, 29 (D.C. Cir. 1999). There is an exception for a prisoner who shows that he "is under imminent danger of serious physical injury" at the time he files suit. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g). Plaintiff is not eligible to proceed *in forma* pauperis because he has accumulated more than "three strikes" for purposes of the PLRA. See, e.g., Carroll v. Clerk of Court, No. 08-1684, 2009 WL 112546, at *1 (W.D. Pa. Jan. 15, 2009) (denying motion for leave to proceed *in forma* pauperis under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g) and dismissing complaint without prejudice to re-filing after payment of the filing fee). Moreover, review of the complaint reveals that plaintiff brings this action against the Federal Bureau of Investigation under the Freedom of Information Act, see 5 U.S.C. § 552, and nothing in the pleading suggests that plaintiff is in imminent danger of serious physical injury. Absent such an

allegation, plaintiff does not overcome the bar imposed by Section 1915(g).

Accordingly, the Court denies plaintiff's motion to proceed *in forma pauperis* and dismisses this action under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g).

DATE: Much 23, 2009

nited States District Judge