

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

FILED

NOV 18 2008

Clerk, U.S. District and
Bankruptcy Courts

Ernest L. Dixon,

Plaintiff,

v.

American Broadcasting Company,

Defendant.

Civil Action No.

08 1983

MEMORANDUM OPINION

This matter is before the Court on plaintiff's *pro se* complaint and application to proceed *in forma pauperis*. The Court will grant plaintiff's application and dismiss the complaint for lack of subject matter jurisdiction.

The subject matter jurisdiction of the federal district courts is limited and is set forth generally at 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1332. Under those statutes, federal jurisdiction is available only when a "federal question" is presented or the parties are of diverse citizenship and the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000. A party seeking relief in the district court must at least plead facts that bring the suit within the court's jurisdiction. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a). Failure to plead such facts warrants dismissal of the action. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(h)(3).

Plaintiff, who claims to reside in the District of Columbia,¹ sues a broadcasting station in San Francisco, California, "for all of my earnings." The complaint does not allege a violation of either the Constitution or federal law, nor does it provide a basis for diversity jurisdiction

¹ Plaintiff lists his address as 1600 Pennsylvania Avenue, Washington, D.C. 23607. The Court judicially notices this address as that of The White House, except that the zip code is for Newport News, Virginia. *See* www.usps.com/zip4.

inasmuch as plaintiff has not demanded any amount of monetary damages. Besides, the claim is “so attenuated and unsubstantial as to be absolutely devoid of merit.” *Decatur Liquors, Inc. v. District of Columbia*, 478 F.3d 360, 363 (D.C. Cir. 2007) (citation and internal quotation marks omitted). Accordingly, the complaint will be dismissed by separate Order issued contemporaneously.

Date: October 30, 2008


United States District Judge