## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

| FREDERICK H. BANKS,     | )                              |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Petitioner,             | )<br>)                         |
| v.                      | Civil Action No. 08-0194 (EGS) |
| ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE | )<br>)                         |
| UNITED STATES, et al.,  | )                              |
|                         | )                              |
| Respondents.            | )                              |

## **MEMORANDUM OPINION**

"In 2004, a jury convicted Frederick H. Banks of mail fraud, copyright infringement, money laundering, uttering and possession of counterfeit and forged securities, and witness tampering[,]" and he "was sentenced to sixty months of imprisonment, to be followed by three years of supervised release." *United States v. Banks*, 296 Fed. Appx. 234 (3d Cir. 2008). The Third Circuit affirmed the judgment and sentence, *see United States v. Vampire Nation*, 451 F.3d 189 (3d Cir. 2006), and the Supreme Court denied Banks' petition for certiorari. *See Banks v. United States*, 549 U.S. 970 (2006).

Banks now alleges that he a "Native American and member of an Indian tribe" who is "unlawfully confined in federal prison" because he has a "judgment from a Trial Court invalidating his federal convictions." Pet. at 3. He demands his immediate release. *Id.* at 8.

The Court construes the petition as a challenge to the sentencing court's jurisdiction, and any argument that the sentencing court "lacked jurisdiction over him – no matter what the basis of that argument – must be raised in a petition brought pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255." *United* 

States v. Banks, Crim. No. 04-176, 2009 WL 440369, at \*1 (M.D. Pa. Feb. 23, 2009). This Court

does not have jurisdiction to address the issues presented here "as they regard the actual validity

of his conviction and sentence and are the proper subject for a § 2255 motion which must be

pursued in the United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania where he

was convicted and sentenced." Banks v. Pearson, No. 5:08cv313, 2009 WL 161666, at \*2 (S.D.

Miss. Jan. 22, 2009).

The Court therefore will deny the petition and dismiss this civil action. An Order

consistent with this Memorandum Opinion is issued separately.

Signed:

EMMET G. SULLIVAN

United States District Judge

Dated:

March 5, 2009

2