

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

_____)	
WALGREEN COMPANY et al.,)	
)	
Plaintiffs,)	
)	
v.)	Civil Action No. 06-2084 (RWR)
)	
ASTRAZENECA PHARMACEUTICALS)	
L.P. et al.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
_____)	
RITE AID CORPORATION et al.,)	
)	
Plaintiffs,)	
)	
v.)	Civil Action No. 06-2089 (RWR)
)	
ASTRAZENECA PHARMACEUTICALS)	
L.P. et al.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
_____)	
MEIJER, INC. et al.,)	
)	
Plaintiffs,)	
)	
v.)	Civil Action No. 06-2155 (RWR)
)	
ASTRAZENECA PHARMACEUTICALS)	
L.P. et al.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
_____)	

This court's memorandum opinion [53] dated February 25, 2006
is amended as follows:

1. On page 10, line 13, "Abbott" replaces "Teva" in the clause "the complaint alleged that Teva was a monopolizer"
2. On page 11, line 2, "Abbott" replaces "Teva" in the phrase "decision to deny Teva's motion"

SO ORDERED.

SIGNED this 26th day of February, 2008.

/s/
RICHARD W. ROBERTS
United States District Judge