## Dews-Miller v. Clinton, No. 06-cv-1764 (D.D.C. April 27, 2010) APPENDIX A: Summary of Counts in Amended Complaint

COUNT NO.	DESCRIPTION	CITED AUTHORITY*	PROCEDURAL HISTORY
I	Reprisal for Pl.'s disclosure of employees' misuse of AmEx accounts and for Pl.'s prior EEOC activity.  • Received two "minimally successful" ratings  • Placed on AWOL status  • Denied a Within Grade Increase  • Terminated on December 9, 1996	• Whistleblower Protection Act of 1989, 5 U.S.C. § 2302 • Title VII	<ul> <li>Raised under Whistleblower Protection         Act in 1995 and 1996 OSC complaints         (except "minimally successful"         ratings).</li> <li>Raised under Title VII in March 28,         1996 OCR Complaint; exhausted through         EEOC process.</li> </ul>
II	Failure to comply with procedural requirements to counsel/assist employees with "minimally successful" ratings  • From 5/1/94 - 1/24/95 (Count II)  • From 2/1/95 - 7/31/95 (Count III)	• CSRA: 5 U.S.C. § 1101 5 U.S.C. § 4302	<ul> <li>Never raised before under the CSRA.</li> <li>Raised under Title VII in March 28, 1996 OCR Complaint; exhausted through</li> </ul>
III			EEOC process.
IV	Def.'s acts and omissions constitute violations of First and Fifth Amendments  • Right to free speech and association  • Liberty  • Procedural due process  • Substantive due process  • Equal protection  • Privacy	• Constitution	• Never raised before.
V	Def. failed to provide Pl. with at least 30 days' written notice prior		
VI	to: • Termination (Count V)		
VII	Denial of Within Grade Increase (Count VI)     Termination of appt. to OIG (Count VII)     Conversion of career appt. to	• CSRA: 5 U.S.C. § 7513	<ul> <li>Never raised before under the CSRA.</li> <li>Arguably within scope of 3/28/96 OCR Title VII complaint.</li> </ul>
VIII			
IX	temporary appt. (Count VIII) • Placement on AWOL (Count IX)		

## Dews-Miller v. Clinton, No. 06-cv-1764 (D.D.C. April 2, 2010) APPENDIX A: Summary of Counts in Amended Complaint

COUNT NO.	DESCRIPTION	CITED AUTHORITY*	PROCEDURAL HISTORY
Х	Failure to comply with 1989 EEOC decision directing Def. USIA to pay Pl. for time placed on AWOL	• 29 C.F.R. § 1614.108	<ul> <li>Never raised before under regulation.         No notice from EEOC of right to file claim in court.</li> <li>Arguably within scope of 3/28/96 OCR</li> </ul>
			Title VII complaint.
XI	Failure to generate a SF-50 for detail to Office of Comptroller	• Violation of 1995 Settlement Agreement	• Raised under Title VII in 10/10/95 OCR complaint and in the 1998 Case, and as a breach of contract claim in the 1998 Case.
XII	Failure to pay overtime at Office of Comptroller	• Violation of 1995 Settlement Agreement	Never raised before as breach of contract claim.
			Arguably within scope of 3/28/96 OCR     Title VII complaint.
XIII	Failure to promote Plaintiff during O/C detail pursuant to Manual	• Violation of 1995 Settlement Agreement	Never raised before as breach of contract claim.
			Arguably within scope of 3/28/96 OCR     Title VII complaint.
XIV	Agreement is void for vagueness - failure to provide clear standards for position held at Office of Comptroller	• Violation of 1995 Settlement Agreement	Never raised before as breach of contract claim.
AT V			• Not within scope of 3/28/96 OCR Title VII complaint.
XV	Failure to provide training for new position.	• Violation of 1995 Settlement Agreement	• Raised under Title VII in 10/10/95 OCR complaint and in the 1998 Case, and as a breach of contract claim in the 1998 Case.
XVI	Agreement purported to obligate Office of Personnel to place Pl., but Office of Personnel didn't sign.	• Violation of 1995 Settlement Agreement	Never raised before as breach of contract claim.
			• Not within scope of 3/28/96 OCR Title VII complaint.
XVII	USIA didn't meet obligation re: performance evaluations during 3-month probationary period.	• Violation of 1995 Settlement Agreement	Never raised before as breach of contract claim.
			Arguably within scope of 3/28/96 OCR     Title VII complaint.

## Dews-Miller v. Clinton, No. 06-cv-1764 (D.D.C. April 2, 2010) APPENDIX A: Summary of Counts in Amended Complaint

COUNT NO.	DESCRIPTION	CITED AUTHORITY*	PROCEDURAL HISTORY
XVIII	USIA didn't rate Pl.'s performance at end of 3-month probationary period.	• Violation of 1995 Settlement Agreement	<ul> <li>Never raised before as breach of contract claim.</li> <li>Arguably within scope of 3/28/96 OCR Title VII complaint.</li> </ul>
XIX	Agreement didn't require Def. to act in good faith.	• Violation of 1995 Settlement Agreement	<ul> <li>Never raised before as breach of contract claim.</li> <li>Not within scope of 3/28/96 OCR Title VII complaint.</li> </ul>
XX	(none)		
XXI	O/C failed to counsel Pl. before "minimally successful" rating	• Violation of 1995 Settlement Agreement	• Raised under Title VII in 10/10/95 OCR complaint and in the 1998 Case, and as a breach of contract claim in the 1998 Case.
XXII	USIA failed to keep terms of Agreement confidential.	• Violation of 1995 Settlement Agreement	<ul> <li>Never raised before as breach of contract claim.</li> <li>Arguably within scope of 3/28/96 OCR Title VII complaint.</li> </ul>

<sup>\*</sup>Plaintiff argues in her Opposition to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss or, in the Alternative, for Summary Judgment that the entire pro se Amended Complaint is brought under Title VII.