

DENARD DARNELL NEAL,
Plaintiff,
v.
JOHN SNOW, et al.,
Defendants.

This matter comes before the Court upon review of defendants’ partial motion to dismiss and plaintiff’s opposition. Plaintiff is proceeding *pro se*, and he appears to have some complaint against the United States Department of the Treasury regarding the maintenance of a UCC trust account in a fictitious name. Plaintiff’s opposition provides no further rationale or explanation for his complaint.

-1-

alleged are irrational or wholly incredible. *Denton v. Hernandez*, 504 U.S. 25, 33 (1992).

The Court is mindful that complaints filed by *pro se* litigants are held to less stringent standards than formal pleadings drafted by lawyers. *See Haines v. Kerner*, 404 U.S. 519, 520 (1972). Nonetheless, having reviewed plaintiff's complaint, the Court concludes that, with the exception of his FOIA claim, the factual allegations are baseless and wholly incredible.

The Court therefore will **GRANT** defendants' partial motion to dismiss [Dkt. No. 6] and dismiss plaintiff's non-FOIA claims. The Court, by order dated August 19, 2006, has set a briefing schedule for the FOIA claim.

SO ORDERED.

s/

ELLEN SEGAL HUVELLE
United States District Judge

Dated: September 20, 2006