UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

PHI TRUONG,

Plaintiff,

v.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT,

Defendant.

Misc. No. 04-488 (JMF)

ORDER

On September 8, 2004, Plaintiff Phi Truong was sent a Customer Notice by the Department of Housing and Urban Development ("HUD"). The notice informed plaintiff that pursuant to an investigation regarding the Public Housing Section 8 Program, his records were being sought from Citimortgage Corporation. The notice also described the process by which plaintiff could challenge the subpeona of the subject records.

The process for challenging the subpoena included the requirement that a Motion to Challenge be filed either in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia or the Eastern District of Kentucky within 10 days of the date of service or within 14 days of the date of mailing. The notice also instructed plaintiff to "[c]omply with Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and serve the Government officer requesting the records by delivering or mailing (by registered or certified mail) a copy of [his] motion and sworn statement to" the Inspector General of HUD. The notice indicated that, if no challenge was filed, the requested

records could be released to HUD.

Neither HUD nor the United States Attorney's Office has filed an appearance in this

matter. The notice specifically instructed plaintiff to comply with Rule 4, but in the same breath,

instructed plaintiff to serve the Inspector General of HUD. Without specifying the applicable

subsections of Rule 4 or listing Rule 4's requirement that the United States also be served, via

service on the U.S. Attorney's Office, the notice is unclear at best. In light of the fact that the

instruction could reasonably be read to suggest that service on the Inspector General of HUD was

sufficient to comply with Rule 4, I hereby, **ORDER** that:

1. If HUD has not already done so, HUD is enjoined from sending a certificate of

compliance to Citimortgage Corporation; and

2. If HUD has sent such a certificate and has received plaintiff's financial records,

HUD is hereby enjoined from reviewing those records, using any information

contained therein in any investigation, and/or sharing them with any other

government agency; and

3. If HUD possesses plaintiff's financial records, HUD shall seal them immediately.

These conditions shall be in force immediately and shall remain in force until the final

adjudication of this matter.

Finally, I am sending a copy of this Order to Craig Lawrence, Esq., Civil Division of the

U.S. Attorney's Office, so that the U.S. Attorney's Office may enter its appearance forthwith.

SO ORDERED.

| Dated: | JOHN M. FACCIOLA |
|--------|--------------------------------|
| | UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE |